Captivity effects on wide-ranging carnivores

Animals that roam over a large territory in the wild do not take kindly to being confined.

🔪 ome species — ring-tailed lemurs and snow leopards, for example - apparently thrive in captivity, whereas others, such as Asian elephants and polar bears, are prone to problems that include poor health, repetitive stereotypic behaviour and breeding difficulties. Here we investigate this previously unexplained variation in captive animals' welfare by focusing on caged carnivores, and show that it stems from constraints imposed on the natural behaviour of susceptible animals, with wide-ranging lifestyles in the wild predicting stereotypy and the extent of infant mortality in captivity. Our findings indicate that the keeping of naturally wide-ranging carnivores should be either fundamentally improved or phased out.

Preventing natural behaviour patterns in animals can give rise to stress and frustration^{1,2}, and impair the development of brain regions that are involved in behavioural sequencing, thereby reducing the animal's ability to behave flexibly and appropriately^{3,4}. To investigate whether the observed variation in the welfare of different species could arise from a differential impact of captivity on their natural behaviour, we calculated the mean frequency of stereotypic pacing⁵ by 35 species of caged carnivore. We focused on pacing because it is the most prevalent stereotypy among carnivores (97% of reported stereotypies⁵) and also to avoid comparability problems raised by pooling different forms of stereotypy (such as swaying and head-nodding). We also quantified infant mortality in captivity, which is often due to poor maternal care⁶.

As an animal's natural ranging and foraging activities are particularly constrained by captivity⁷, we obtained all available field data on median home-range size, daily travel distance, time spent in general activity, time spent foraging, and reliance on hunting. We also quantified minimum home-range sizes and daily travel distances, as these can be orders of magnitude smaller when food is abundant⁸. Relationships between wild and captive variables were tested by using one-tailed regressions.

Body-weight effects were investigated in analyses involving range size⁹; phylogenetic effects were controlled where necessary (and in all analyses involving body mass) by comparative analysis of independent contrasts^{10,11}. Our inferences about welfare took into account natural infant-mortality rates, and the amount of normal activity and total stereotypy in captivity; we also considered feeding regimes, and the size and complexity of enclosures, to check that relationships between wild and captive variables were



Figure 1 Natural ranging behaviour and welfare of species from the order Carnivora in captivity. **a**, Carnivores' minimum home-range sizes in the wild predict captive infant mortality ($F_{1,19} = 12.60$, P = 0.001). **b**, Together with body weight (see text), minimum home-range size also predicts stereotypic pacing in captivity ($F_{2,19} = 4.79$, P = 0.011; controlling for phylogeny: $F_{2,17} = 3.11$, P = 0.036). On these cross-species plots, a few species from a range of families and with varying relation to the regression line are highlighted: AF, Arctic fox (*Alopex lagopus*); PB, polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*); AM, American mink (*Mustela visori*); L, lion (*Panthera leo*). Values on the *x*-axes differ because fitted values are used in **b** that incorporate body weight; the *y*-axis in **b** shows data back-transformed from an arc-sine transformation.

not by-products of variation in husbandry. Degrees of freedom varied in subsequent analyses owing to missing data.

Natural home-range size (HR) predicted captive-infant mortality (median HR: $F_{1,21} = 6.04$, P = 0.012; minimum HR, see Fig. 1a). Controlling for body weight did not alter this relationship (median HR: $F_{1,20} = 4.35$, controlling for phylogeny: P = 0.025; $F_{1,16} = 20.46$, P = 0.0001; minimum HR: $F_{1,18} = 9.29$, P = 0.004; controlling for phylogeny: $F_{1,18}$ = 16.94, P = 0.001). Minimum, but not median, daily distances travelled (DDT) gave similar results ($F_{1.18} = 3.99$, P = 0.03). These effects seem to be specific to captive animals: wild and captive infantmortality rates did not covary ($F_{1,6} = 0.08$, not significant) and infant mortality in the wild was unrelated to range size (for example, minimum HR: $F_{1,7} = 0.43$, n.s.).

Home-range size also predicted pacing (median HR: $F_{1,22}$ =5.78, P=0.013; minimum HR: $F_{1,20}$ =5.66, P=0.014). A positive

trend was evident with body weight $(F_{1,33}=3.23, P=0.081;$ controlling for phylogeny: $F_{1,31}=4.09, P=0.052$). With both terms in a multiple regression, each lost its individual effect on pacing, but the overall adjusted r^2 value increased to 26.5%, from 6.2% (for body weight alone) and 18.2% (for minimum HR alone; Fig. 1b). Likewise, median, but not minimum, daily travel distances were positively correlated with pacing $(F_{1,18}=9.80, P=0.003)$.

These results all held when total stereotypical behaviours (including non-pacing) were analysed5. Naturally wide-ranging animals did not, however, show more normal activity in captivity (for example, minimum HR: $F_{1,15} = 0.17$, n.s.; DDT: $F_{1,15} = 0.01$, n.s.), nor did they move around more overall within their enclosures (for example, minimum HR: $F_{1,18} = 0.61$, n.s.; DDT: $F_{1,18} = 0.10$, n.s.). Home-range size therefore still predicted pacing, even when controlling for the amount of total activity in captivity (for example, minimum HR: $F_{1,14} = 2.65$, P=0.019). The degree of natural foraging and general activity, in contrast, did not predict captive stereotypy or infant mortality⁵ (for example, the level of natural activity versus pacing: $F_{1,18}$ = 3.53, n.s.). Variations in husbandry did not account for any of these findings'.

Our results show, to our knowledge for the first time, that a particular lifestyle in the wild confers vulnerability to welfare problems in captivity. Our study also reveals species that are inherently likely to fare badly in zoos and similar establishments. Among the carnivores, naturally wide-ranging species show the most evidence of stress and/or psychological dysfunction in captivity^{3,4,12}, a finding that is a cause for concern, given the difficulties of conserving such species in situ13. Husbandry of these species in captivity is therefore in need of improvement, such as provision of extra space (a polar bear's typical enclosure size, for example, is about one-millionth of its minimum home-range size). Alternatively, zoos could stop housing wide-ranging carnivores and concentrate instead on species that respond better to being kept in captivity.

Ros Clubb, Georgia Mason

Animal Behaviour Research Group, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK

- e-mail: georgia.mason@zoology.ox.ac.uk
- Mason, G., Cooper, J. & Clarebrough, C. Nature 410, 35–36 (2001).
- 2. Dawkins, M. S. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 20, 209–225 (1988).
- Robbins, T. W., Jones, G. H. & Wilkinson, L. S. J. Psychopharmacol. 10, 39–47 (1996).
- 4. Lewis, M. H., Gluck, J. P., Bodfish, J. W., Beauchamp, A. J. &

brief communications

Mailman, R. B. in *Stereotyped Movements* (eds Sprague, R. L. & Newell, K. M.) 37–67 (Am. Psychol. Assoc., Washington DC, 1996).

- Clubb, R. E. The Roles of Foraging Niche, Rearing Conditions and Current Husbandry on the Development of Stereotypies in Carnivores (Thesis, Univ. Oxford, 2001).
- Meier, J. in Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine (ed. Fowler, M. E.) 842–851 (Saunders, Philadelphia, 1986).
- Shepherdson, D. J., Mellen, J. D. & Hutchins, M. (eds) Second Nature: Environmental Enrichment for Captive Animals (Smithsonian Inst. Press, Washington DC, 1998).
- Gittleman, J. L. & Harvey, P. H. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 10, 57–63 (1982).
- 9. Gittleman, J. L. J. Mamm. 67, 23-36 (1986).

.....

- 10. Purvis, A. & Rambaut, A. Comp. Appl. Biosci. 11, 247–251 (1995). 11. Bininda-Emonds, O. R. P., Gittleman, J. L. & Purvis, A. Biol. Sci.
- **74**, 143–175 (1999).
- Bahr, N. I., Pryce, C. R., Döbeli, M. & Martin, R. D. Physiol. Behav. 64, 429–437 (1998).
- 13. Woodroffe, R. & Ginsberg, J. R. *Science* **280**, 2126–2128 (1998). Competing financial interests: declared (see online version).

Explosives

A microsensor for trinitrotoluene vapour

Sensing devices designed to detect explosive vapours are bulky, expensive and in need of technological improvement — dogs remain the most effective detectors¹ in the fight against terrorism and in the removal of land-mines^{2,3}. Here we demonstrate the deflagration of trinitrotoluene (TNT) in a small localized explosion on an uncoated piezoresistive microcantilever. This explosive-vapour sensor, which has a detection capability that is comparable to that of a dog, should enable extremely sensitive, miniature detection devices to be used on a large scale.

Microcantilevers with specific coatings can be used for chemical detection^{4,5}, but TNT molecules, which are intrinsically 'sticky', readily adhere to uncoated cantilever surfaces⁶. When the source of TNT is removed, the TNT molecules slowly desorb. We found that applying a voltage pulse to an integrated piezoresistor during this desorption process causes the cantilever temperature to rise beyond the deflagration point of TNT, resulting in a miniature deflagration. Our cantilevers did not degrade after hundreds of pulses (10–25 volts) and deflagrations.

We monitored deflagration by capturing magnified high-speed video images, by measuring the deflection due to released heat using an optical beam-bounce technique that is used in atomic-force microscopy, and by measuring resonance-frequency shifts and heat added with a piezoelectric/piezo-resistive cantilever⁷ operated in self-sensing mode by means of an a.c. bridge circuit⁸. The circuit's output increases with mass loading of adsorbed TNT and increasing temperature; it decreases with mass desorption and decreasing temperature.

A five-event TNT-detection test, using the self-sensing platform described, is illustrated in Fig. 1. During event 1, before loading with TNT, a reference voltage pulse (25 volts) is applied to the piezoresistive heater, causing a temporary upward spike in circuit output that is due to heating. TNT loading (event 2) causes a gradual upward shift in sensor output, which then gradually decreases when the TNT begins to desorb from the cantilever (event 3). The second pulse (5 volts) during desorption does not raise the cantilever temperature sufficiently for deflagration (event 4). The third pulse (25 volts) causes deflagration, as shown by a visible smoke plume, and a dramatic mass decrease, which is verified by a reduction in circuit output (event 5) that overwhelms the upward thermal signal evident in event 1. Post-deflagration reference pulses of 25 volts resulted in spikes similar to the one seen in event 1.

The occurrence of deflagration was inferred from three consistent observations. First, the cantilever returns to its pre-test resonance frequency after deflagration, suggesting that all of the adsorbed material has been lost. Second, a specific voltage (corresponding to a threshold, or deflagrationpoint, temperature) is necessary to cause deflagration. Third, the measurement of heat added to the cantilever during deflagration shows that the reaction is exothermic, ruling out other possible reactions such as melting, vaporization or decomposition.

Our method currently detects the deflagration of as little as 70 picograms $(1.9 \times 10^{11}$ molecules) of TNT (calculated from the shift in cantilever resonance). This limit of detection is the same as that of an improved version⁹ of the ion-mobility mass-spectrometry technology now used for airport security. Calculations show that the detection limit could be improved by up to three orders of magnitude by using optimized cantilevers.

An explosive-detection technique based on deflagration is advantageous, because deflagration is a characteristic property of these substances. Other potentially interfering but non-explosive substances tested including water, acetone, ethyl alcohol and gasoline — all desorbed in our system before the voltage pulse was applied. In the absence of a voltage pulse, desorption of nanogram quantities of deposited TNT takes tens of minutes; comparable amounts of water or alcohol desorb in seconds.

Other explosive molecules can be detected by this method and differentiated by their compound-specific deflagration points and desorption times (results not shown). Our technique could also be used in conjunction with coated-cantilever chemical-sensing arrays⁵, in which the arrays serve as an initial indicator, and positive readings are verified by deflagration on an uncoated cantilever. L. A. Pinnaduwage*†, A. Gehl*†, D. L. Hedden*†, G. Muralidharan*, T. Thundat*†, R. T. Lareau‡, T. Sulchek§, L. Manning§, B. Rogers§, M. Jones§, J. D. Adams§



Figure 1 Deflagration and detection of trinitrotoluene (TNT). **a**, Sensor output plotted against time. During event 1 (numbered arrow) of the test, a 25-volt reference pulse is sent to the piezoresistor before TNT loading begins; sensor output returns quickly to almost its pre-pulse value. In event 2, TNT is gradually loaded onto the cantilever and the resonance frequency decreases, causing the sensor output to increase. After loading, the TNT begins to desorb (event 3) and a 5-volt pulse (event 4) is insufficient to heat the explosive on the cantilever to its deflagration point. However, a 25-volt pulse is sufficient to cause deflagration (event 5). **b**, Left, diagram of the microcantilever, showing the integrated piezoelectric sensor, piezoresistive heater, and TNT loaded on the cantilever surface. Scale bar, 100 μ m. Right, deflagration is confirmed in magnified high-speed video images; the smoke plume is visible, particularly when illuminated by red laser light.

*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

†Department of Physics, University of Tennessee,

Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

 \ddagger Transportation Security Administration,

US Department of Homeland Security,

Atlantic City, New Jersey 08405, USA

\$Department of Mechanical Engineering and the Nevada Ventures Nanoscience Program, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557, USA

- e-mail: jdadams@unr.edu
- 1. Furton, K. G. & Myers, L. J. Talanta 54, 487–500 (2001).
- 2. Colton, R. J. & Russell, J. N. Science 299, 1324-1325 (2003)
- 3. Czarnik, A. W. Nature 394, 417–418 (1998).
- 4. Thundat, T., Chen, G. Y., Warmack, R. J., Allison, D. P. &
- Wachter, E. A. Anal. Chem. 67, 519–521 (1995).
 5. Lang, H. et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 72, 383 (1998).
- Lang, I. & M. Appl. Phys. Lett. 12, 305 (1998).
 Muralidharan, G. et al. Ultramicroscopy 97, 433–439 (2003).
- Minne, S. C., Manalis, S. R. & Quate, C. F. Appl. Phys. Lett. 67,
- 3918–3920 (1995).
 Rogers, B. *et al. Rev. Sci. Instruments* (in the press).
- Khayamian, T., Tabrizchi, M. & Jafari, M.T. Talanta 59, 327–333 (2003).

Competing financial interests: declared none.

brief communications is intended to provide a forum for brief, topical reports of general scientific interest and for technical discussion of recently published material of particular interest to non-specialist readers (communications arising). Priority will be given to contributions that have fewer than 500 words, 10 references and only one figure. Detailed guidelines are available on *Nature*'s website (www.nature.com/nature).

NATURE | VOL 425 | 2 OCTOBER 2003 | www.nature.com/nature